Doc W 1 UN 408



ARMORED MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

FORT KNOX, KENTUCKY

INDEXED

Report On

PROJECT NO. T-7 - TEST OF CARBON MONOXIDE HAZARD FROM ENGINE IN LIGHT TANK, M24

ARMY MEDICAL MAY 27 1946 LIBRARY U.S. Armoved medical research laboratory

Fortknox, ky.

Project no. T-7

ARMORED MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY Fort Knox, Kentucky

Project No. T-7 SPMEA 470.8-2 19 April 1945

- 1. PROJECT No. T-7 Test of Carbon Monoxide Hazard from Engine in Light Tank, M24.
- a. Authority: 2nd Indorsement by Surgeon General to Letter, Office of Chief of Ordnance, 470.8/2194 Tanks, SPOTT, 29 January 1945.
- b. Purpose: To investigate the carbon monoxide hazard in the fighting compartment of subject vehicle from contamination by exhaust fumes.

2. DISCUSSION:

- a. In earlier tests of the M24 light tank by this Laboratory (Project No. 44 Physiological and Operational Characteristics of M24 Tank, 8 November 1944) attention was called to the possible carbon monoxide hazard in the crew compartment arising from engine exhaust fumes during the operation of the winter ventilation system. The present tests were conducted to provide more detailed information with respect to the cause, magnitude, and means of correction of this hazard.
 - b. Detailed test procedures and results are presented in the Appendix.

3. CONCLUSIONS:

- a. Hazardous carbon monoxide concentrations are found within the fighting compartment from exhaust gases entering the engine air intake when the vehicle is stationary with engine idling and wind is from the rear.
- b. Danger of crew compartment contamination from this source is largely eliminated through changes in direction of discharge of engine exhaust gases to prevent short-circuiting to the air intake.

4. RECOMMENDATIONS:

a. Redesign exhaust tail pipes to eliminate contamination of air entering fighting compartment by engine exhaust fumes.

NOTE: The recommendations as set forth in this project have been concurred in by Col. Fred W. Makinney, Chief of Staff, Armored Center.

Submitted by:
Robert H. Walpole, Capt., FA

APPROVED

WILLARD MACHIE
Colonel, Medical Corps
Commanding

Willard Mache

l Incl.
Appendix

Project No. 7-7 SPACKA 470.8-2 19 April 1945

- 1. PROJECT No. T-7 Test of Carbon Monoxide Hazard from Engine in Light
- Chief of Ordnance, 470.8/2194 Tanks, SPOTT, 29 January 1945.
- b. furpose: To investigate the carbon menoxide Assard in the fighting compartment of subject vehicle from contemination by exhaust fumes.

2. DISCUSSION:

- No. AA Physiological and Operational Characteristics of M24 Tank, 8 November 1984) attention was called to the possible carbon monoxide basard in the craw comparison saising from engine exhaust fumes during the operation of the winter ventilation system. The present tests were conducted to provide more detailed information with respect to the cause, magnitude, and means of correction of this fareard.
- n, because tour procedures and results are presented in the Appendix

3. COMCLUSIONS

- a. Hazardous carbon monoxide concentrations are found within the fighting compartment from exhaust gases entering the engine air intake when the vehicle is stationary with engine idling and wind is from the rear.
- b, Danger of Grew compartment contamination from this source is largely eliminated through changes in direction of discharge of angine exhaust games to prevent short-circuiting to the air intake.

A. MISCOMMINDATIONS:

- 2. Indesign exheust tail pipes to aliminate contamination of air entering
- NOTE: The recommendations as set forth in this project have been concurred in by Col. Fred H. Mekinney, Chief of Staff, Armored Center.

Submitted by

Robert H. Walpole, Capt., FA

Willers Marce

Appendix

Colonal, Medical Corps

APPENDIX

l. Earlier studies of the carbon monoxide hazard in the M24 Tank (AMRI Project No. 44, 8 Nov. 44) indicated that present ventilation of the crew compartment is inadequate for effective control of gun fumes. With respect to the scheme for winter heating by diversion of air from the engine air intake, attention was called to a potential CO hazard resulting from possible contamination of this air with carbon monoxide from the engine. Subsequent study by OCO-D made it appear doubtful that such contamination by re-circulation of air within the engine compartment could take place, owing to the cutward air stream which is maintained. The purpose of the present study was to determine more accurately the source, magnitude and possible means of eliminating the hazard, the presence of which was indicated in the earlier study.

2. Test Procedures

- a. Tests were conducted to determine:
 - (1) Effect of wind direction
 - (2) Situation in moving vehicle
 - (3) Relative influence of open or closed floor panels (for inspection and/or winter heating).
 - (4) Influence of position and direction of discharge of engine exhaust terminals.
- b. Carbon monoxide concentrations were determined with the MSA CO Indicator, calibrated before and after test. Samples were taken at the center of the turret, approximately at breech height.
- c. In all tests the tank hatches were closed. The vertical bulkhead doors were also closed in these tests.

3. Results of Tests

a. Effect of wind direction - (Tank stationary, engine idling at 900 rpm, all hatches closed, vertical bulkhead doors closed, floor panels open). Results, presented in Table 1, show clearly, that carbon monoxide builds up in the crew compartment to disturbing concentrations only when the wind is from the rear.

Froject No. Ms, 2 Nov. Ms) indicated that present ventilation of the crew comperiment is inadequate for effective control of gun fumes. With respect to the acheme for winter heating by diversion of air from the engine air intake, attention was called to a potential CO hazard resulting from possible contamination of this air with carbon monoxide from the engine. Subsequent study by OCO-D made it appear doubtful that such contamination by re-circulation of air within the engine compartment could take place, owing to the outward air stream which is maintained. The purpose of the present study was to determine more accurately the source, magnitude and possible means of eliminating the hazard, the presence of which was indicated in the earlier study.

2. Test Procedures

- A. Tests were donducted to determine:
- (1) Effect of wind direction
- (2) Situation in moving vehicle
- (3) Relative influence of open or closed floor panels (for inspection and/or winter heating).
- (4) Influence of position and direction of discharge of engine exhaust terminals.
- b. Carbon monexide concentrations were determined with the MSA CO Indicator, celibrated before and after test. Samples were taken at the center of the turnet, approximatery at breach height.
- doors were also closed in these tests.

3. Results of Tests

at Affect of mind direction - (Tank stationary, engine idling at 900 rpm, all hatehes closed, vertical bulkhead deers closed, floor panels open). Results, presented in Table 1, show closely, that carbon monoxide builds up in the creatempartment to disturbing concentrations only when the wind is from the rest.

EFFECT OF WIND DIRECTION

Stationary Vehicle

- a. Wind, Head Average Velocity 6.6 mph
 Test Duration 20 Minutes
 Maximum CO Concentration 0.005%
- b. Wind, From 90° Left Average Velocity 5.4 mph.
 Test Duration 20 Minutes
 Maximum CO Concentration 0.009%
- c. Wind, From 90° Right Lverage Velocity 6.3 mph.
 Test Duration 20 Minutes
 Maximum CO Concentration 0.002%

Wind, Tail Test Time, Min.	<u>≉ co</u>	Av. Wind Velocity
0	0.030	8.4
1	0.037	H
3	0.049	11
5	0.040	н
10	0.044	9.6
12	0.026	H.
13	0.032	
14	0.040	n
15	0.028	12.0
16	0.030	O CONTRACTOR II
17	0.022	H
18	0.030	The sale and H
19	0.034	5.6
20	0.040	duri garage não dependent

b. Situation in Moving Vehicle. Two tests were conducted—one driving at approximately 10 mph into a head wind and the other at the same speed with a tail wind. Wind velocity in both tests equal. The results in Table 2 indicate that no hazard exists under these conditions.

TABLE 2

SITUATION IN MOVING VEHICLE

- a. Wind, Head Average Velocity 3 mph.
 Test Duration 14 Minutes
 Maximum CO Concentration 0.006%
- b. Wind, Tail Average Velocity 3 mph.
 Test Duration 14 Minutes
 Maximum CO Concentration 0.008%

INECK I

EFFECT OF WIND DIRECTION

Stationary Vehicle

- Test Durstion 20 Minutes

 Variance CO Concentration 0.005%
- D. Wind, From 90° Left Average Velocity 5.4 mph. Test Duration - 20 Minutes Maximum Co Concentration - 0.0095
- C. Wind, From 90° Right Lagrage Velocity 6.3 aps. Test Duration - 20 Minutes Maximus CO Concentration - 0.0026

0.028	
0,040	

at approximately 10 cgh into a head wind and the other at the same speed with a tail wind. Wind welcoity in both tests equal. The results in Table 2 indicate that no header exists under these conditions.

TABLE 2

SITUATION IN MOVING VEHICLE

- Test Duration 14 Minutes Leximum CC Concentration 0,006g
- Feet Duration 14 Minutes

 Maximum CO Concentration 0.0081

c. Relative influence of open and closed floor panels. With the tank stationary and a tail wind, the effect of closing the floor panels is to reduce somewhat the CO concentration in the crew compartment, as shown in Table 3. The concentration remains excessive, however, even under this condition of operation.

TABLE 3

	OPEN, CL	OSED FLOOR PANELS	
Test Time, Min.	% CO	Av. Wind Vel., mph	Remarks
0	0	18.0	Horiz. Doors Open
3	0.014	18.0	11
8	0.024	18.0	H H
13	0.031	18.0	n
20	0.026	18.0	11
23	0.031	15.6	11
28	0.016	18.0	Horiz. Doers Closed
33	0.014	15.6	.11
38	0.016	18.0	87
43	0.022	15.6	Hroiz. Doors Open
48	0.024	12.0	n
53	0.030	18.0	19
58	0.026	15.6	11

d. Influence of direction of engine exhaust discharge. It is evident from the foregoing that the principal source of CO contamination in these tests was not back-flow of contaminated air from the engine compartment, but rather, the external return of engine exhaust gases into the air intake, resulting from the closeness of the discharge and intake openings on the rear deck and the vertical direction of discharge of the engine exhaust gases. To demonstrate this further, tests were run with diverting tubes placed over the engine exhaust terminals and carried forward beyond the air intake. Tests were conducted with a stationary tank (engine idling) and tail wind. Floor panels were open. The results, in Table 4, when compared with the levels recorded in Table 1, indicate clearly that the mixing of engine exhaust gases with the intake air is the source of trouble.

TABLE 4

EXHAUST DISCHARGE DIVERTED	
<u>≴ CO</u>	Av. Wind Vel.,mph
0.005	emption and not contract
	17.0
0.005	15.6 18.0
	<u>≴ CO</u> 0.005 0.016 0.005

stationery and a tail wind, the effect of closing the floor panels is to reduce somewhat the CO concentration in the crew compertment, as shown in Table 3. The concentration remains excessive, however, even under this condition of condition of

TABLE 3

from the foregoing that the principal source of CO contamination in these tests was not back-flow of contaminated air from the engine compartment, but rather, the external return of engine exnaust gases into the sir intake, resulting from the closuress of the discharge and intake openings on the rear deck and the vertical direction of discharge of the engine exhaust gases. To demonstrate this further, tosts were run with diverting tubes placed over the engine exhaust conducted with a stationary tank (engine idling) and tall wind. Floor panels were conducted with results, in Table 4, when compared with the levels recorded in Table 1, indicate clearly that the mixing of engine exhaust gases with the intake air is the source of trouble.

TARIE L

As a further demonstration, when carbon monoxide gas was deliberately introduced into the air intake or into the engine compartment, an immediate and pronounced increase in the CO concentration in the crew compartment was noted (Table 5).

TABLE 5

TRACING EXHAUST GAS FLOW

a. Tracer Gas Fed to Engine Intake:

Wind, Tail Test Time, Min.	% CO	Av. Wind Vel.,	Remarks
0	0.005	10.8	
1	0.005	H H	Start flow CO Tracer
2	0.035	n	Gas
2:40	-	11	Stop flow CO Tracer
3	0.148	11	Gas
4	0.061	Ħ	
5	0.028	11	
6	0.019	H	

b. Tracer Gas Fed to Engine Compartment:

Wind, Tail Test Time, Min	% CO	Av. Wind Vel.,	Remarks
0	0.007	17.0	
1	0.007	n	Start flow CO Tracer
1:30	0.052	11	Gas
3	0.087	Ħ	
4	0.056	Ħ	Stop flow CO Tracer
5	0.043	11	Gas
6	0.019	H	*
7	0.014	19	
8	0.012		and the second and the second

4. Discussion of Results. These tests point clearly to the engine exhaust gases as the source of contamination and indicate the marked influence of position and direction of discharge of the exhaust upon the magnitude of contamination in the crew compartment. Owing to the likelihood of prolonged exposure under conditions favorable to such crew compartment contamination, the CO concentration should not exceed 0.01%. Indeed, because of the apparent ease of correction, perhaps any evidence of contamination from this source should be regarded as unsatisfactory. The effects of breathing low concentration of carbon monoxide are not acute and may escape specific attention. For this reason, every precaution must be taken against unnecessary contamination. The means of correction employed in these tests was crude and served only to show that change in position and direction of discharge of exhaust fumes would be beneficial. Practical means for both production and field correction must be developed by the responsible agency.

increduced into the mir intake or into the angine compartment, an immediate and pronounced increase in the CO concentration in the crew compartment was noted (Table 5).

TABLE 5

			Start flow GO Tracer Gas Stop flow GO Tracer Gas
	A CO		
	0.007 0.007 0.052 0.057 0.056 0.059	17.0 n	Start flow CO Tracer Cas Stop flow CO Tracer Gas

games as the source of contemination and indicate the marked influence of position and direction of discharge af the exhaust upon the magnitude of contemination in the orac compartment. Owing to the likelihood of prolonged exposure under confined axosed 0.015. Indeed, because of the apparent seas of correction, perhaps any evidence of contemination from this source should be regarded as unsatisfactory. The effects of breathing low concentration of carbon monoxide are not acute and may seeps apacific attention. For this reason, every precaution must be taken against underessary contemination. The means of correction employed in these tests was crude and served only to show that change in position and direction of discharge of exhaust funes would be beneficial. Fractical means for both production and field correction must be developed by the responsible arenex.

5. Winter Heating. With respect to the use of the winter heating facility, the possibility of crew compartment contamination from manifold leakage or other source of CO in the engine compartment has been demonstrated in these tests (introduction of CO gas into engine compartment). Acting upon the recommendation contained in the earlier AMRL report (8 Nov. 44), it is understood that OCO-D have provided signs on the bulkheads of M24 tanks warning against the opening of floor panels for heating. This warning sign is necessary.

the possibility of crow compartment contamination from manifold leaking facility, source of 00 in the engine compartment has been demonstrated in these tests (intraduntion of 00 gas into engine dompartment), Acting upon the resonmendation contained in the earlier AWRL report (8 Nov. At), it is understood that OCO-D have previded sighs on the bulkheads of M24 tenks warning against the opening of floor